

Ad Hoc Data Committee

Minutes of September 16, 2009

1pm – 3pm

Present: Ron Johnson, Ryan Nestman

Staff Present: Sherry Bradley, Cindy Downing, Jana Drazich, Julie Freestone, Debra Jones, Susan Medlin

- Review of Committee charge – “Review the fiscal and outcomes reports; report back on implications/issues, recommend improvements to reports & future marker”
- Review Inventory – Reviewed Matrix of Reports & Chart of Data Referred to Consolidated Planning Advisory Workgroup (CPAW) Data Committee. No shortage of data, must now choose what kind of data to delve into.
- Strategy – Recommendation: Prioritize upcoming plans to be reviewed, starting with Community Services & Supports (CSS) Plan Update 09/10. Passed unanimously.
- Begin review of CSS
 - Review FSP Outcome Data presented by Debra Jones
 - Sherry clarified that CSS has requirements as to who can be accepted/serviced as a Full Service Partner (FSP). There is an option for the next 3-year Plan to use more money as Systems Development instead of continuing the CSS plan as it currently stands.
 - Jana mentioned that the CSS 09/10 Update is more than just a copy of the CSS 08/09 Plan, it includes evaluation, analysis and modifications, such as those made to the budget.
 - CSS Data Needed to make a recommendation:
 - Per capita costs (may vary by county) – it was explained that each county determines what services it will provide for their FSPs.

Ad Hoc Data Committee - Minutes of September 16, 2009

- Served vs. underserved – data is currently available.
 - Dollars allocated to programs – data is currently available.
 - Services needed to be provided– data is currently available.
 - Housing– data is currently available.
 - FSP Services offered in Contra Costa– data is currently available.
 - Underutilized FSP Programs – data not currently available.
 - Comparison of data between regions of the county and into other counties
 - Any state data? – The only data received from the State is inconclusive and raises many questions. In its current form, it is not adequate for distribution
 - Did we complete original plan deliverables?
- Questions raised by CSS data:
- Should Latino Youth in East County continue as priority? Should we include African American youth as well?
 - How does the number of those serviced stack up against the need?
 - Where is MHSA housing \$ going? Is it permanent supportive housing?
 - How supported is the progression to employment? How involved was Vocational Services in acquiring jobs for FSPs? For which age group?
 - Does staff have outcome data?
 - Does employment need more support? Would CPAW recommend funding?
 - Ryan suggested an innovative idea to look at data of parents with prior arrests/domestic violence issues and child clients/hospitalizations.
- Site Visits
- Site Visit team is working on questions to ask FSPs & Personal Service Coordinators (PSC)
 - Looking to include CPAW member on Site Visit team. Ryan Volunteered to join.

Ad Hoc Data Committee - Minutes of September 16, 2009

- Sherry suggested that the Debriefing Team mentioned at previous CPAW meeting be merged with the Site Visit Team, since they would essentially serve the same purpose.
- Quality Management Council (QMC). Ron raised questions of the transparency of this body. Is data that is available to/created by the QMC available to others? Can family members/consumers join the Council?

Sherry mentioned that HIPAA restrictions come into play with having family members/consumers join the QMC because patient's charts are sometimes discussed.

Susan suggested that family members/consumers could possibly join as volunteers who would then have to sign confidentiality agreements and receive HIPAA Compliance training.

- Dashboard – tabled to next meeting due to time limitations.
- Emerging Issues - tabled to next meeting due to time limitations.